Case 1:08-cv-01750-AKH	Document 1	Filed 02/19/2008	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
DOMINICK DERASMO AND EILEEN DERA	SMO	DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THI	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINI
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEI JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			<u> </u>
	NOTICE OF	FADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These	erein in additi	on to those paragraphs	specific to the individ

the dual f(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, DOMINICK DERASMO AND EILEEN DERASMO, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

ndividual a	nd a citizen of Connecticut res		ad, Waterbury, CT 06708
2.	Alternatively, □	(OR) is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this claim	in his (her) capacity as	of the Estate of
	n/	1.1.1	. 11
		read this document carefi	•
	It is very important that you	fill out each and every se	ection of this document.

	Please read this doc	ument carefully.
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	
	the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and breathed nabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
5.	"Other" locations, please annex a separa Injured Plaintiff	ate sheet of paper with the information.
	nis information on a separate sheet of pa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify
Approximatel Approximatel	Kills Landfill out until; y hours per day; for y days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
From on or ab Approximatel Approximatel	y hours per day; for y days total.	plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:
=======================================	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured
Approximatel	y 12 hours per day; for y 30 days total.	Approximately days total.
✓ The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)		☐ The Barge From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for
	======================================	lling in the following dates and locations
	w York, Inc. as a Supervisor at:	·
4.		2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated
Injured Frame	SPOUSE at all relevant times he DOMINICK DERASMO, and the injuries sustained by her hu DERASMO.	nerein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff I brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff DOMINICK Other:
3. Connecticut re Injured Plaint	esiding at 10 Clough Road, Waterbury,	of the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of CT 06708-, and has the following relationship to the
Cas	se 1:08-cv-01750-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 2 of 11

2 of 9

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

6.

Injure	ed Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
\Box The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
\square More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	\square BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAROTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND FORT EXCAVATING CORT ☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC. ☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
=======================================	☑ DATE ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□Evans Environmental

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ OTHER:

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Filed 02/19/2008 Page 7 of 11 Case 1:08-cv-01750-AKH Document 1 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

	ounded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; spe lization Act of 2001, (or); ☐ Federal Officers : ; ☐ Contested, b	Jurisdi	
remo	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28		
	III CAUSES	S OF	ACTION
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ☑ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
		П	Other:

Please read this document carefully. It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Case 1:08-cv-01750-AKH Document 1 Filed 02/19/2008 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Ш	Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		V	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: To be supplied at a later date Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
	Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		V	Other Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Groui dama	nd Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or	th	ne injur	ries identified in paragraph "1", above, the
	Pain and suffering			
\checkmark	Loss of the enjoyment of life			
V	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity			
\checkmark	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of			
V	retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation			
\checkmark	Other:			

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ Mental anguish✓ Disability

✓ Medical monitoring

☑ Other: Not yet determined.

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York February 18, 2008

Yours, etc.,

Derasmo

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Dominick Derasmo and Eileen

Sv.

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York February 18, 2008

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	DOMINICK DERASMO (AND WIFE, EILEEN DERASMO),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=======	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within
	is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
PLEASI	======================================
ti d <u>NOTI</u> ti w ju w	ICE OF ENTRY hat the within is a (certified) true copy of an ruly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 ICE OF SETTLEMENT hat an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON one of the rudges of the within named Court, at 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP